RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

MAR 1 4 2006

OFFICIAL

CARDINAL LAW GROUP

1603 Orrington Avenue/Suite 2000 Evanston, Illinois 60201 Telephone 847 – 905 - 7111 Facsimile 847 - 905 - 7113

Date: **MARCH 14, 2006**

To: EXAMINER BONSHOCK, DENNIS G.

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Fax #: (571) 273-8300

From: FRANK C. NICHOLAS

Phone #: (847) 424-2521

Client/Matter No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

of Pages: 20

(including cover sheet)

IF YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS MESSAGE, PLEASE CALL 847/905-7111, Ert. 112 AND ASK FOR JENNIEER CRUZ

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED. IT MAY CONTAIN PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT, OR TRADE SECRET INFORMATION WHICH IS EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAWS. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR AN EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE (AND ALL COPIES) TO US BY MAIL AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS. WE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR POSTAGE

MAR 1 4 2006
PT04824 (1247), Approved to an Enruge MOSCOL Crick (001-003) Passes and (Indentity), Cricks in C. DCPP

Signature Date: March 14, 2006	maps gate husban workshot wit our registration has residented to settlement of waterword months is printing a new CHRC country settlement.															
FORM First Date OctOBER 18, 2001	TRANSMITTAL						Attorney Docket No			ALES920010823US1 (9000/81)						
First Named Inventor ANTHOLYY E LANTINEZ Group Art Unit 2173 Examiner BONSHOCK, DENNIS G. FINCLOSURES (check all finat apply) Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Inventorions and an							Application Number			09/981,877						
Group At Unit 2173 Examiner BONSHOCK, DENNIS G. Amendment Assignment Papers Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals After Final Drawings: Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals After Final Drawings: Appeal Binet Group Petition for Exercision for Exercision of Time Requested Appeals of Time Requested Fig. 1.18 Appeal Binet Group Petition for Exercision of Time Requested Appeals Appeals and Interferences Post Card Repeals Additional Enclosure(s) (please James of Time Requested Appeals Appeals and Interferences Post Card Repeals Additional Enclosure(s) (please James of Time Requested Appeals Appeals and Interferences Post Card Repeals Additional Enclosure(s) (please James of Time Requested Appeals Appeals and Interferences Post Card Repeals Additional Enclosure(s) (please James of Time Requested Appeals Appeals and Interferences Post Card Repeals Additional Enclosure(s) (please James of Time Request of Refund Propriet appeals applied Appeals Post Card Repeals Additional Enclosure(s) (please James of Time Request of Refund Post Card Repeals Additional Enclosure(s) (please James of Time Request of Refund Post Card Repeals Post Card Repeal		FC	DRM				Filing Date	OCTOBER 18, 2001								
Coup Af Unit 2773 ENCLOSURES (check all that apply) ENCLOSURES (check all that apply) Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Inverterances Appeals Appeals and Inverterances Appeals Appeals Appeals Appeals and Inverterances Appeals							First Named Inventor A				ANTHONY E. MARTINEZ					
ENCLOSURES (check all that apphy) Anenament	l	(10 DB used for all corre	ispondence aft	ស ហេដុមា ជ	ing)		Group Art Unit		2173	2173						
Amendment							Examiner BONSHOCK, DENNIS G.					NIS G.				
After Final Drawings: Appeals and previous Appeals and previou	ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)															
Afficial		Amendment Assi					ilgnment Papers									
Affidavits/declaration(s) Affidavits/declaration(s)	İ	After Final Drav				wngs:										
Status Letter		Affidavits/declaration(s)				After Allowatics Communication to Group				Ø	Appear Brief					
Prosion for Egensson of Yimo Request (cup) Change of Correspondence Address Additional Enclosure(s) (please dentity below)	ĺ_										Proprietary Information					
Request (sup) Express Apendorment Under 37 CPR 1.138 Supplemental Information Disclosure Supplemental Information Disclosure Information		Status Letter									Post Card Receipt					
Supplemental information Disclosure Small Entity Statement Small						Cna	nange of Correspondence Address									
Statement, PTO-1449, at Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Messing Paris/ Incomplete Request of Refund						Tem	Ferminal Declarner									
Response to Messing Parts/ Incomplete Application The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any sees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 09-0447 (IBM Corporation). A duplicate copy of this street is enclosed. I hereby petition under 37 CFR § 1.136(a) for any extension of time required to ensure that this paper is timely filed. Please charge any associated less which have not otherwise been paid to Deposit Account No. 09-0447 (IBM Corporation). A duplicate copy of this street is enclosed. CALCULATION OF FEE Small Entity Large Entity Claims After Amendment Previously Paul For Extra Ratta Fee Small Entity Large Entity Large Entity Total Minus 0 x \$259 0 x \$500 x \$200 x \$200 x \$200 x \$200 x \$200 x \$200 x \$300 total add fee Signature PAUL M HILETKO Registration on Avenue, Suite 2000 Extripricate Of FACSIMILE I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimate to (571) 273-8300 Date: March 14, 2006 March 14, 2006 March 14, 2006							all Emity Statement									
Application Capture Copy of this sheet is enclosed. Capture No. 09-0447 (IBM Corporation) A displicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.		Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)					uest of Refund									
The paper is timely filed. Please charge any associated fees which have not otherwise been paid to Deposit Account No. 09-0447(IBM Corporation). A displicate copy of this sheet is enclosed. CALCULATION OF FEE Small Entity Large Entity Claims After Amendment Previously Present Amendment Part For Extra Ninus O x \$25s O x \$50s O x \$50s O x \$50s O x \$50s O x \$200s O x \$200s O x \$30s	Application credi					Iff any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. <u>09-0447</u> (IBM Corporation) A										
Claims After Ameritment Providely Present Extra Acart Fee Acart Fe	that other					This paper is timely fied. Please charge any associated fees which have not shall be been paid to Deposit Account No. <u>09-0447</u> (IBM Corporation). A duplicate										
Claims After Amendment Paul For Extra Total Minus 0 1 2 \$25* 0 1 2 \$50= 1 Indep. Minus 0 2 \$100= 0 2 \$200= 1 First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim 0 4 \$100= 0 1 2 \$200= 1 First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim 1 50 8 \$100= 0 1 2 \$200= 1 First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim 1 50 8 \$100= 0 1 2 \$200= 1 First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim 1 50 8 \$100= 0 1 2 \$200= 1 First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim 1 50 8 \$100= 0 1 2 \$200= 1 First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim 1 50 8 \$100= 0 1 2 \$100= 0 1 2 \$100= 1 Signature PAUL M HILETKO REPUBLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm PAUL M HILETKO REPUBLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Rate Add1 Fee					-					•						
Claims After Amendment Previously Present Eura Rate Add't Fee Add't Fee Total Minus 0 x \$25= 0 x \$50= 1 x \$50= 1 x \$50= 1 x \$20=			1	HINDA	st No				Smai	Entity		1	Large	Emily		
Indep. Minus 0 x \$100= 0 x \$200= First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim +\$180= - +\$360= total add fee \$ 0 total add fee \$ 0 SiGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm or Individual name PAUL M HILETKO Registration No 51,806 CARDINAL LAW GROUP Canguary (L. 60201) Signature Date March 14, 2006 CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to (571) 273-8300 to the United States Patentian Trademark Office on this date: March 14, 2006				Previo	Previously				Rate		l 	or	Rate			
First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Clasm 15180= -	Total	 	Minus				0		x \$25	•	0		x \$50=			
Firm Cr. Registration No. 51,806 CARDINAL LAW GROUP TEGS Office on this date: Signature CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimide to (571) 273-8300 March 14, 2006 Signature Date: March 14, 2006							0		x \$100	╄-	0		x \$200≂			
Signature Signature PAUL M HLETKO Registration No. 51,808 CARDINAL LAW GROUP 1633 Ornington Avenue. Suite 2000 Evanstor IL 60201 Signature Date March 14, 2006 CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to (571) 273-8300 to the United States Patentian Trademark Office on this date. March 14, 2006 Signature Date: March 14, 2006	First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim						ļ									
Firm cr Individual name PAUL M HLETKO Registration No 51,806 CARDINAL LAW GROUP 1603 Omington Avenue, Suite 2000 Evanstor L 60201 Signature Date March 14, 2006 CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to (571) 273-8300 to the United States Patentian Trademark Office on this date: March 14, 2006 Signature Date: March 14, 2006																
Signature CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to (571) 273-8300 to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on this date: March 14, 2006 Signature Date: March 14, 2006	Firm PAUL M HLETKO or Registration No. 51,806 Individual name CARDINAL LAW GROUP TIOS Ormigen Avenue, Suite 2000															
thereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsinde to (571) 273-8300 to the United States-Patenti-sing Trademark Office on this date: March 14, 2006 Signature Date: March 14, 2006	Signature Date March 14. 2006															
Signature Date: March 14, 2006																
Signature Date: March 14, 2006	to the Chairman Course Course and Tourish and Army and Ar															
PAUL M HIETKO (51 806)	Signatur		11.1	P	-					Date:	: <u>M</u>	arch 1				

PTDGG21 (TPR) Approved by January 200001. CHR CHILDRY MEDIT and Transports Office (LS DEPORTMENT OF COLUMNIES.)

				- 40	467 JUS 1-01	Per reduction Act of 1995, no	pers	COS STORMED TO COMP	and so a com	cason of editoria) (III)	miner y certific to state	CHR rollini manon	
	TRANS	SMITT	ΊΔΊ			Attemey Docket	No)	AUSS	20010923	B L	1 (9000/81)		
TRANSMITTAL						Application Numi	bei	r	09/981,877				RECEIV	
FORM						Filing Date			OCTOBER 18, 2001 CENTRA				TRAL FAX	
						First Named Inve	unt	or	ANTHONY E MARTINEZ MAR 1					
4	(ID De used for all com	9spondence afi	er ntser t	Mराद्ध)		Group Art Unit			2173					
						Examiner			BONSHOCK, DENNIS G.					
				ENC	'1 OS	URES (check a	n.	And namely	 -		_	-		
				T =		grment Papers	47 (am eppy)	F.	000000	~		Panel of	
	Amendment			🗆	*****	Sittem to inferito	Tithoute t alkerto					mmunication to at Interferences		
	After Final				Drawings:									
	Afficiavits/0	ieclaration(s))		After Atlowance Communication to Group			Ø	Appeal	Appeal Brief				
_		·			Petition Routing Stip (PTO/SB/69) and Accompanying Petition				Proprietary Information					
	Status Letter				To Convert a Provisional Application				Post Card Receipt					
	Petition for Excensi Request (dup)	on of Time			Provisional Application Change of Correspondence Address				Additional Engineure(s) (please identify below)					
	Express Abandonment Under 37 CFR 1 138				Terminal Disclamer									
	Supplemental Infon Statement, PTO-14		Sure		Sma	Small Entity Statement								
	Centified Copy of Priority Document(s)				Request of Refund									
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application				⊠	The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No <u>09-0447</u> (IBM Corporation). A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.									
				×						ve not				
		·			C	ALCULATION OF	FI	E E				· .		
		_						Smas	Entity			Large	Entity	
	Claims After Amendment		Previo	ously		Present Extra		Rate	Add Fee	, 0	r	Rate	Add1 Fee	
otal		Minus						x \$25=		0		x \$50=		
ndep.		Minus				0	ĺ	x \$100≃		0		x \$200=		
nst Pres	sentation of Mulipple	е Оер Стапт	1		_		ı	+\$180=		_		+ \$360=		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							total add'i fee		\$ 0		otal add'i fee	\$0	
		***************************************		ATUR	E OF	APPLICANT, ATT	O)	NEY, OR AGI	ENT			·		
rm đividus	name C	AUL M. HLE Bgistration Ni ARDINAL LA 103 Ottrigion	51,80 W GRO	UP	2000									
		Vanston: L E		Δ						 				
Signature Date March 14, 2006														
				\	CERT	TIFICATE OF FAC	81	MALE						
	centify that this con- itled States-Paten						71) 273-8300				N		
- 42 01		11000	171		une ti			 				March 14. 2	AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERTY OF THE PE	
gnature	1, 4	= M	K					İ	n			2000		
والتلاما بي		ILETKO (51	8061			 	_		Date	March	نات	2006		

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 1 4 2006

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to (571) 273-8300 to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on:_ March 14 2006

(Date of Transmission)

PAULM HI-ETKO (51,806)
Name of Appellaga, assigner or registered representative Signature

Murch 14, 2006

Date of Signature

PATENT Case No. AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re pa	tent application of:	
	ANTHONY E. MARTINEZ, ET AL.) Examiner: BONSHOCK, D. G.
Serial N	No.: 09/981,877))
Filed:	OCTOBER 18, 2001) Group Art Unit: 2173
+	METHOD OF PREVIEWING A GRAPHICAL IMAGE CORRESPONDING) TO AN ICON IN A CLIPBOARD) }

APPEAL BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22202-1450

Dear Sir:

Appellants respectfully present their Brief on Appeal as follows:

March 14, 2006 Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001

Page 2 of 17

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Real party in interest.	•	•	•	•	3
2.	Related appeals and into	erferences	•	•		4
3.	Status of claims .		•	•		5
4.	Status of amendments.		•		-	6
5.	Summary of claimed su	bject matt	er .	-		7
6.	Grounds of rejection to	be review	ed on ap	peal	•	8
7.	Argument		•			9
8.	Conclusion	•	•	•	•	13
9.	Claims appendix .	•	•	•	••	14
10.	Evidence appendix .	•		-		17
11.	Related proceedings apr	pendix				17

Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001

Page 3 of 17

1. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is assignee INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, USA and located at New Orchard Road, Armonk, New York 10504, USA

Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 4 of 17

2. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appellant and the undersigned attorneys are not aware of any appeals or any interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or having a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 5 of 17

3. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-16 are currently pending in the application and stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as anticipated by "MS Word." All claims are on appeal. See, the Appendix.

Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001

Page 6 of 17

4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

All previously filed amendments have been entered in the application.

Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001

Page 7 of 17

5. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The invention provides a method of previewing a graphical image corresponding to an icon 16 in a clipboard (p.4, lines 12-25). The method includes receiving an icon preview instruction (p. 4, lines 17-20) from a user and displaying the graphical image associated with the icon 18 in response to the icon preview instruction.

A method of displaying a clipboard includes receiving a paste command (p.2, line 26, p. 4, lines 18-20) and determining whether a plurality of objects are stored within the clipboard in response to the paste command (p. 4, lines 18-20). The method further includes displaying the clipboard adjacent a display position indicator, if it is determined a plurality of objects are within the clipboard (p. 4, lines 18-21).

The invention further provides a computer usable medium including a program for previewing a graphical image corresponding to an icon in a clipboard. The medium includes computer readable code for receiving an icon preview instruction from a user (p. 4, lines17-20), and computer readable code for displaying the graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction (p. 4, lines17-20).

Additionally, the invention provides a computer usable medium including a program for displaying a clipboard. The program includes computer readable code for receiving a paste command (p. 4, lines 18-20), computer readable code for determining whether a plurality of objects are stored within the clipboard in response to the paste command (p. 4, lines 18-20), and computer readable code for displaying the clipboard adjacent a display position indicator, if it is determined a plurality of objects are within the clipboard (p. 4, lines 18-20).

Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001

Page 8 of 17

6. GROUND OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Does the display of a text sample, the text sample consisting of ASCII characters such that the image of the text sample differs in appearance from the text, in response to a mouse action as disclosed by "MSWord" anticipate under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) displaying a "graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction" as claimed in claim 1?

Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81) Serial No.: 09/981,877

Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 9 of 17

7. ARGUMENTS

A. "MSWord" does not disclose each and every element of claim I

The rejection of claims 1-16 as anticipated under 35 U.S.C §102(a) over MSWord is traversed. In order to maintain this §102(a) rejection, each and every element of the claimed invention must be disclosed in as great detail by the reference. Because the reference does not disclose each and every element, this rejection must fall.

As the "reference" does not disclose "displaying the graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction," as claimed in claim 1, the §102(a) rejection cannot stand.

At most, the "reference" discloses that a text sample of the clipboard icon is disclosed upon a mouse action, and not the display of a graphical image. See, MS Figure 2. Those of ordinary skill in the art readily recognize the differences between text and graphics. Indeed, an example of the difference is apparent from an inspection of MS Figure 2. Those of ordinary skill in the art are well acquainted with ASCII characters and fonts - ASCII characters serve to allow a computer to recognize characters of different fonts as the same character. Thus, for example "The cat ran up the hill" is rendered in Times New Roman font (in MS Figure 2), but the computer recognizes the ASCII characters in that text string as being capable of rendering in multiple fonts. "The cat ran up the hill" could also be rendered in Arial font - "The cat ran up the hill", Bookman Old Style - "The cat ran up the hill" or a multitude of other well-recognized fonts. In MS Figure 2, the allegedly anticipatory illustration illustrates the text string in different fonts, making apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that the computer is using a text string, rather than a graphical image. Were the Examiner's computer using a graphical image, the fonts on the two samples, (i.e. on the screen and on the popup) would be identical. Because the fonts are different (the onscreen version uses a serif font, while the pop-up version is rendered in a sans-serif font), those of

Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81) Serial No.: 09/981,877

Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 10 of 17

ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the computer is using ASCII characters rather than graphical images.

Additionally, MS Figure 9 not only does not disclose the claimed elements, MS Figure 9 unequivocally teaches away from displaying a graphical image — "If the item is a drawing object or picture, or an item that doesn't contain text, Microsoft Word displays a label "Picture 2," which indicates the order in which the item was copied." Such a statement clearly indicates not only that the "reference" does not teach displaying "the graphical image associated with the icon," but the statement directly teaches away from doing such an action. Furthermore, MS Figure 9 teaches and discloses that the program can only preview "50 characters of text."

The Examiner's comments in paragraph 17 of the August 26, 2004 office action provide support for Appellant's position. The Examiner alleges that "text stored here are represented as a 'W' located on a page, in contrast pictures are represented as an icon...." (emphasis added). However, the claim requires "displaying the graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction," and not representing the picture as an icon. Thus, the claim requires "displaying the graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction" rather than displaying an icon associated with the graphical image.

The Examiner's argument that "MSWord" discloses a graphical image associated with an image on the clipboard is disingenuous. Claim 1 requires displaying the graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction and not the "image on the clipboard." See, pargraph 19 of the August 26, 2004 office action.

Appellants further note that the "reference" does not teach or suggest the desirability of using a graphical icon as a preview. In addition, none of the other cited references disclose the claimed invention.

Because the "reference" and other cited references do not disclose each and every element of claim 1, Appellants request the withdrawal of the §102(a) rejections of these claims, and claims 2-3 and 10-11 depending therefrom.

Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81) Serial No.: 09/981,877

Filed: October 18, 2001

Page 11 of 17

B. "MSWord" is not a reference under 35 U.S.C §102(a) and cannot support an anticipation rejection

Appellants traverse the rejection on the grounds that "MSWord" is not prior art, per MPEP §2128. Since "MSWord" does not qualify as prior art, it cannot be used to support a rejection, and the rejection must be withdrawn.

First, the Examiner has not stated, nor even alleged, that the documents were ever publicly accessible, much less accessible to persons concerned with the art to which the documents relate. The Examiner admits that the documents are screenshots of the Examiner's own computer taken April 22, 2004. Thus, the earliest these documents could possibly have been publicly accessible is 2.5 years after the filing of this application.

The MPEP is quite clear that a reference is a "printed publication" as required by §102(a) only "upon a satisfactory showing that such document has been disseminated or otherwise made available to the extent that person interested and ordinarily skilled in the subject matter or art, exercising reasonable diligence, can locate it." See MPEP 2128, In re Wyer, 655 F.2d 221 (CCPA 1981). The Examiner does not even allege that a single other person, much less one of ordinary skill in the art, has access to his personal computer at the PTO.

The Examiner's inclusion of "MS Figure 8" appears targeted to show that the program was available prior to October 18, 2001. However, the copyright dates of a computer program are irrelevant to its status as a printed publication. Further, the screenshot illustrating the copyright dates does not show the allegedly anticipatory method in action, and therefore calls the anticipatory nature of the alleged reference into question.

While the Examiner may argue that he need not prove anyone actually looked at the document, he must show both that someone could have (i.e. see MPEP 2128.01) and the date that the publication is available as a reference. The Examiner has failed on both counts here. First, the Examiner has not alleged that his computer was available to the public prior to October 18, 2001. Second, the Examiner has not alleged any dates that the publications were available to the public.

Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001

Page 12 of 17

The Examiner's argument that "cases in which screen shots were used to illustrate operational characteristics of software applications have been known be deemed [sic] acceptable by the courts" is irrelevant and without citation. Whether a screen shot illustrates operational characteristics has nothing to do with proof of anticipation. The elements of a "reference" are clearly delineated, and the Examiner cannot support the status of "MSWord" as a §102(a) reference. As outlined above, even if "MSWord" is properly a reference, "MSWord" does not disclose the claimed elements.

Thus, for at least the ground that the Examiner's "reference" is not prior art, Appellants request withdrawal of the rejections to claims 1-16.

Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 13 of 17

CONCLUSION

The Appellants respectfully submit that claims 1-16 fully satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§102, 103 and 112. In view of the foregoing, favorable consideration and early passage to issue of the present application is respectfully requested.

Dated: March 14, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

ANTHONY E. MARTINEZ, et al.

CARDINAL LAW GROUP

Suite 2000

1603 Orrington Avenue Evanston, Illinois 60201

Phone: (847) 905-7111

Fax: (847) 905-7113

Paul M. Hletko

Registration No. 51,806 Attorney for Appellants

Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001

Page 14 of 17

9. CLAIMS APPENDIX

1. A method of previewing a graphical image corresponding to an icon in a clipboard, comprising:

receiving an icon preview instruction from a user; and displaying the graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction.

- 2. The method of claim 1 wherein receiving an icon preview instruction comprises determining whether a display position indicator is positioned over the icon displayed in the clipboard for a predetermined time period.
- 3. The method of claim 1 wherein displaying the graphical image comprises displaying a reduced image of the graphical image.
 - A method of displaying a clipboard comprising:
 receiving a paste command;

determining whether a plurality of objects are stored within the clipboard in response to the paste command; and

displaying the clipboard adjacent a display position indicator, if it is determined a plurality of objects are within the clipboard.

5. The method of claim 4 wherein displaying the clipboard adjacent the display position indicator comprises positioning an icon associated with a last pasted object adjacent the display position indicator.

Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 15 of 17

- The method of claim 4 further comprising:
 hiding the clipboard in response to selecting an icon corresponding to an image stored on a clipboard.
- 7. The method of claim 4 further comprising: hiding the clipboard in response to moving position indicator so that the position indicator is not adjacent to the clipboard.
 - 8. The method of claim 4 further comprising: hiding the clipboard in response to selecting a close icon on the clipboard.
- 9. Computer usable medium including a program for previewing a graphical image corresponding to an icon in a clipboard, comprising:

computer readable code for receiving an icon preview instruction from a user, and

computer readable code for displaying the graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction.

- 10. The computer usable medium of claim 9 wherein receiving an icon preview instruction comprises determining whether a display position indicator is positioned over the icon displayed in the clipboard for a predetermined time period.
- 11. The computer usable medium of claim 9 wherein displaying the graphical image comprises displaying a reduced image of the graphical image.

Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 16 of 17

12. Computer usable medium including a program for displaying a clipboard comprising:

computer readable code for receiving a paste command;
computer readable code for determining whether a plurality of objects are
stored within the elipboard in response to the paste command; and
computer readable code for displaying the clipboard adjacent a display
position indicator, if it is determined a plurality of objects are within the clipboard.

- 13. The computer usable medium of claim 12 wherein displaying the clipboard adjacent the display position indicator comprises positioning an icon associated with a last pasted paste-able object adjacent the display position indicator.
- 14. The computer usable medium of claim 12 further comprising:
 hiding the clipboard in response to selecting an icon corresponding to an image stored on a clipboard.
- 15. The computer usable medium of claim 12 further comprising:
 hiding the clipboard in response to moving position indicator so that the
 position indicator is not adjacent to the clipboard.
 - 16. The computer usable medium of claim 12 further comprising: hiding the clipboard in response to selecting a close icon on the clipboard.

Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001

Page 17 of 17

10 <u>EVIDENCE APPENDIX</u>

Appellants entered no evidence pursuant to §1.130, 1.131 or 1.132, and the Examiner entered no evidence that was relied upon by Appellants.

11. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

There are no copies of related decisions or proceedings.